

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/623,179	07/18/2003	Christopher Lee Berg	M81.12-0060	2674	
75	90 06/14/2005		EXAMINER		
Z. Peter Sawicki			RAMANA, ANURADHA		
Westman, Chan	nplin & Kelly		•		
Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
900 Second Avenue South			3732		
Minneapolis, M	1N 55402-3319		DATE MAII ED: 06/14/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 06/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u>W`</u>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/623,179	BERG ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Anu Ramana	3732	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHO THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>04 <i>April</i> 2005</u> .	. •	
,	•			
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			S
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 9-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	•	
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> is/			
	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th The oath or declaration is objected to b			d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-692) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT tr No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on November 17, 2003, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to under "Other Art" therein has not been considered.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference element mentioned in the description: "73." Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 13, 14 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they are drawn to non-statutory subject matter. In claim 13 (line 3), claim 14 (line 2) and claim

Art Unit: 3732

19 (line 7), applicant positively recites part of a human, i.e. "the knee joint" and "the knee." Thus the claims include a human within their scope and are non-statutory.

A claim directed to or including within its scope a human is not considered to be patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. The grant of a limited, but exclusive property right in a human being is prohibited by the Constitution. In re Wakefield, 422 F.2d 897, 164 USPQ 636 (CCPA 1970).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitt (4,373,709).

Whitt discloses a surgical limb holder that can be used to hold a limb in any position, the holder mounted to a surgical table having a support 11, arms 29 and a flexible strap 42 to restrain a lower portion of a leg or "lower leg" (col. 1, lines 19-21).

The method steps of claims 17 and 18 are inherently performed during use of the Whitt limb holder to restrain a limb during surgery.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler, Jr. (US 6,190,312) in view of Fowler (US 5,964,698) and Greishaber (US 4,813,401).

Fowler, Jr. discloses a conventional or variable geometry retractor frame with arms 12a and 12b and a retractor stay apparatus attached to an elastic member wherein the elastic member is received in notch of a member attached to the frame (Fig. 1, cols. 4 and 5 and col. 8, lines 11-28).

Fowler, Jr. discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for the frame being attached to the rail of a surgical table and retractor stay apparatus such as a blade.

Retractor stay members such as paddles or "blades" and hooks attached to elastic members are well known as illustrated by Fowler (Fig. 6 and col. 1, lines 44-52).

Greishaber teaches supporting a retractor frame by mounting it to a rail 8 of a surgical table (Fig. 1, cols. 5 and 6).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided stay members such as blades in the Fowler, Jr. apparatus since such stay members are well known in the art for retracting tissue. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have mounted the retractor apparatus of the combination of Fowler and Fowler, Jr. to an operating table, as taught by Greishaber as it is common knowledge in the retracting art to support a conventional retractor frame by mounting it to a surgical table.

Alternatively, claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitt (US 4,373,709).

Whitt discloses that the limb holder 10 can be utilized in any position, which will enhance and facilitate surgery.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the limb holder 10 to restrain the lower leg or "tibia" to provide support without discomfort.

Art Unit: 3732

The method steps of claims 17 and 18 are rendered obvious by the above discussion.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments submitted under "REMARKS" in the response filed on April 4, 2005 with respect to claims 9 and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Although Applicant stated that a corrected drawing was submitted no drawing was found in the response submitted on April 4, 2005.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8 allowed.

The indicated allowability of claims 17-18 and 20-21 is withdrawn in view of the rejections made in this office action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

AR Annalla Pamara June 12, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6